

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/034,396	12/28/2001	Chester F. Grochoski		1149
24335	7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER	
WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP			SIMONE, CATHERINE A	
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER 111 LYON STREET, N.W. GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503-2487			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/034,396	GROCHOSKI, CHESTER F.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Catherine Simone	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exter - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1 <u>5 December 2003</u> .				
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.				
3)[-					
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-17 and 19-26</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.				
′=	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10-17 and 19-26</u> is/are re Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u>	jected.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
ŕ		•				
	ion Papers	aminar				
, —	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[hy the Examiner			
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	21,	` '			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ne priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International					
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmer	ut(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO er No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawn Rejections

1. The 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claims 1, 3-8, 10-17 and 19-26 over Handwerker in view of Fletcher of record in the Office Action mailed 9/10/03 has been withdrawn due to the Applicant's response filed 12/15/03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-8, 10-17 and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handwerker (US 5,549,956) in view of Olson (US 3,676,641).

Regarding claims 1, 3, 8, 10, 15, and 22, Handwerker discloses a concrete curing blanket comprising a first moisture-barrier layer having first and second sides (Fig. 3, #12); a second moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 3, #14); an insulative layer (Fig. 3, #16) between the first moisture-barrier layer and the second moisture-barrier layer, the first side of the first moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 3, #12) facing the insulative layer (Fig. 3, #16); and a metal foil having first and second sides (Fig. 3, #18). However, Handwerker fails to disclose the first side of the metal foil being attached to the second side of the first moisture-barrier layer, whereby the insulative layer and the metal foil are on opposite sides of the first moisture-barrier layer and the second side of the

Art Unit: 1772

1

metal foil is exposed to form an external surface of the blanket. Olson teaches it is old and well-known in the analogous art to have aluminum foil (Fig. 9, #93; also see col. 4, lines 5-8 and col. 5, lines 22-25) exposed to form an external surface of a blanket for the purpose of forming an insulative and reflective blanket to be used in curing concrete.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicant's invention was made to have the first side of the metal foil in Handwerker be attached to the second side of the first moisture-barrier layer, whereby the insulative layer and the reflective layer are on opposite sides of the first moisture-barrier layer and the second side of the reflective layer is exposed to form an external surface of the blanket as suggested by Olson in order to produce an insulative and reflective blanket used for curing concrete.

Regarding claims 4 and 23, the insulative layer comprises a sheet, the sheet defining a plurality of indentations having a base and an apex (Fig. 2, #16), the first moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 2, #12) laminated to one of the base and the apex, the second moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 2, #14) laminated to the other of the base and the apex, the first moisture-barrier layer and the second moisture-barrier layer defining a space therebetween (see col. 3, lines 29-32). Regarding claim 5, the insulative layer (Fig. 2, #16) comprises a first sheet defining a plurality of indentations (Fig. 2, #22), the first sheet laminated to a second sheet (Fig. 2, #18) closing the plurality of indentations, the enclosed indentations forming at least one insulative enclosure. Regarding claim 6 and 26, the insulative layer (Fig. 2, #16) comprises a first sheet defining a plurality of indentations (Fig. 2, #22), the first sheet laminated to a second sheet (Fig. 2, #18), the laminated first sheet and the second sheet defining a first enclosed space (Fig. 2, #24), the first sheet laminated to a third sheet (Fig. 2, #14), the laminated first sheet and the third sheet defining

Art Unit: 1772

a plurality of insulative enclosures. Regarding claims 7, 13, 14 and 19, the insulative layer and moisture-barrier layers are plastic (see col. 3, lines 3-8). Regarding claim 11 and 20, note the moisture-impervious layer and the insulative layer comprise degradable materials (see col. 3, lines 19-24). Regarding claim 12, note a second moisture-impervious layer (Fig. 2, #14) secured to the protrusions (Fig. 2, #24), the moisture-impervious layer and the base forming at least one insulative enclosure. Regarding claim 16, the plurality of insulative enclosures are disposed in a spaced relationship with each other, the elements being air filled pockets (see col. 3, lines 25-34). Regarding claim 17, note a plurality of insulative layers (Fig. 4, #16 and #30) between the first moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 4, #12) and the second moisture-barrier layer (Fig. 4, #14). Regarding claim 24, note the reflective layer (Fig. 2, #18) is attached to the base (Fig. 2, #16). Regarding claim 25, the reflective layer (Fig. 2, #18) is attached to the moisture-impervious layer (Fig. 2, #12).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-8, 10-17 and 19-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine Simone whose telephone number is (571)272-1501. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine Simone Examiner Art Unit 1772 March 8, 2004

HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER